JPRAS
An International Journal of
Surgical Reconstruction
www.JPRASurg.com

ELSEVIER

The anterolateral thigh – Vastus lateralis conjoint flap for complex defects of the lower limb

Chin-Ho Wong [a,*], Yee Siang Ong [a], Fu-Chan Wei [b]

[a] Department of Plastic Reconstructive and Aesthetic Surgery, Singapore General Hospital, Outram Road, Singapore 169608, Singapore
[b] Department of Plastic Surgery, Chang Gung Memorial Hospital and Medical College, Chang Gung University, Taoyuan, Taiwan

Summary *Introduction:* Complex and extensive lower limb defects remain difficult reconstructive problems. Conventional flaps may not be large enough or lack the versatility that allows precise tissue positioning to optimally cover the wound. The anterolateral thigh–vastus lateralis conjoint flap provides a superior reconstructive solution for these difficult wounds.
Methods and materials: From Jan 2010 to June 2011, seven patients were reconstructed with the anterolateral thigh–vastus lateralis conjoint flap. Three cases were traumatic degloving injury of the lower limb, three were open fractures of the tibia with extensive soft-tissue loss and one was a large soft-tissue defect as a result of necrotising fasciitis. The skin island and muscle component were raised with independent pedicles to allow complete freedom in the inset of each flap based on a common pedicle. The descending and oblique branches of the lateral circumflex femoral artery were used as the pedicle of the conjoint flap in four and three cases, respectively.
Results: The mean size of the skin flap was 355 cm^2 (range: 312–420 cm^2) and the volume of the muscle flap was 210 cm^3 (range: 42–360 cm^3). All flaps survived completely and no infective complications were noted in our patients. The skin and muscle component were widely separated to expand the area of coverage. In cases where specific areas of the wound were severely traumatised with significant tissue loss, the muscle component can be precisely positioned to obliterate the dead space and to optimise soft-tissue coverage of the wound.
Conclusion: The anterolateral thigh–vastus lateralis conjoint flap is superior to conventional flaps available for coverage of extensive defects of the lower limb. It can cover far greater area as well as providing the versatility needed to optimise soft-tissue coverage.
© 2011 British Association of Plastic, Reconstructive and Aesthetic Surgeons. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +65 63214686; fax: +65 62209340.
 E-mail address: wchinho@hotmail.com (C.-H. Wong).

Introduction

Extensive lower limb defects are challenging reconstructive problems.[1] These defects, with a large vertical as well as transverse dimensions, make coverage difficult even with the largest conventional free flap, occasionally necessitating two free flaps.[2] Furthermore, within the defect, in the lower third of the leg where soft tissues are usually deficient, there are areas in particular need for greater volume of vascularised tissue to provide optimal soft-tissue coverage and to obliterate dead space. Failure to do this would predispose the area to infective complications such as abscess formation and osteomyelitis.[3,4]

The anterolateral thigh flap, with is versatility and low donor-site morbidity, is increasingly becoming the flap of choice for coverage of a variety of lower limb defects.[4–7] However, for reconstruction of defects of greater dimensions, anterolateral thigh skin flap may not be sufficient as the transverse dimension that can be reliably harvested is usually <12–14 cm.[8] The anterolateral thigh myocutaneous flap harvested in a traditional manner with the muscle as a carrier of blood vessels that supply the skin cannot solve the problem of inadequate dimension due to inherent limitation of movement and mobility between the muscle and the skin paddle.

The design of an anterolateral thigh–vastus lateralis conjoint (ALT-VL(c)) flap is able to provide both skin and muscle components independently based on different vascular branches from the same pedicle source vessel. It helps increase the surface area available for coverage of defects of greater dimensions. The relative independence of the skin paddle and vastus lateralis also allows more precise positioning of both two components to optimise their use for coverage defect, soft-tissue volume replacement as well as for dead space obliteration.[9,10] This article reports our experience with its application in reconstruction of complex lower limb defects. It also highlights the clinical significance of the anatomy of the anterolateral thigh flap recently known.[11]

Materials and methods

From January 2010 to June 2011, seven patients with complex lower limb defects were reconstructed with the ALT-VL(c) flap. Three cases were traumatic degloving injury of the lower limb, three were open fractures of the tibia with extensive soft-tissue loss and one was a large soft-tissue defect as a result of necrotising fasciitis.

Surgical technique

The anterolateral thigh flap was raised as previously described[8] with modifications specific to harvesting the ALT-VL(c) flap highlighted here. The dimensions of the skin island required based on the defect size is marked centred on the skin vessel as located by the hand-held Doppler. The medial incision is made and the skin flap elevated to the intermuscular septum between the rectus femoris and the vastus lateralis. The skin vessels that will supply the flap are identified and the rectus femoris is then lifted off the vastus lateralis muscle. The descending branch and the oblique branch (if present) of the lateral circumflex femoral artery are then identified.[11] The skin flap is raised either as a perforator flap by intra-muscular dissection to its pedicle or as a septocutaneous vessel based flap. This can be either at the descending or at the oblique branches of the lateral circumflex femoral artery. When possible, two skin vessels are included to supply the skin as in many of these cases the size of the skin flap needed is usually very large. The pedicle distal to the origin of the distal-most skin vessel is then isolated and mobilised for a distance of 1–2 cm. The vastus lateralis supplied by this, the same source vessel, is then harvested. The dimension of the muscle is determined by the defect requirements. A significant-sized muscle flap can reliably be harvested based either on the descending or on oblique branches of the lateral circumflex femoral artery. The ALT-VL(c) flap should be handled with care during harvesting and insetting, taking note not to exert undue tension on the skin vessels as well as avoiding the muscle from dangling off its pedicle. Microanastomoses should only be performed after the skin and muscle flap have at least been partially inset into the defect with all vessels supplying the components of the flap lying in a favourable, tension-free position. We prefer end-to-side arterial anastomoses[12] and perform two venous anastomoses whenever possible for lower limb cases. This is, however, a matter of preference as no evidence exists that two venous anastomoses are superior to a single anastomosis and it is certainly reliable in situations where a second vein is not available.

Results

All flaps survived completely. The mean size of the skin flap was 355 cm^2 (range: 312–420 cm^2) and the volume of the muscle flap was 210 cm^3 (range: 42–360 cm^3). Four flaps were harvested with the descending branch as its pedicle and three with the oblique branch as its pedicle. Split-thickness skin grafts were used over the transferred vastus lateralis. All wounds healed uneventfully with no long-term infective complications.

Illustrative cases

The following cases illustrate the versatility of the ALT-VL(c) flap in covering extensive defects by widely separating the skin and muscle components (case 1) and its ability to optimise soft-tissue coverage in complex three-dimensional defects (case 2). In case 2, the entire ALT-VL(c) flap was harvested based on the oblique branch of the lateral circumflex femoral artery alone (leaving the descending branch *in situ*), demonstrating the reliability as well as the capacity of this pedicle to nourish a large volume of soft tissue.

Case 1

A 42-year-old man presented with a degloving defect over his left leg and foot. His Achilles tendon, the entire calcaneum as well as the distal tibia were exposed

Figure 1 (A) A left leg and foot degloving injury. Critical structures exposed include the calcaneum, tendoarchilles and the distal tibia. (B) The anterolateral thigh—vastus lateralis conjoint flap harvested. DB; descending branch. (C) The patient at 1-year follow-up. He was able to return to work and reported no discomfort with his daily activities.

(Figure 1-A). In view of the extensive nature of the defect with vital structures exposed anteriorly, posteriorly as well as inferiorly, reconstruction with a free ALT-VL(c) flap was planned. This was harvested based on the descending branch of the lateral circumflex femoral artery with a skin paddle measuring 26 × 12 cm^2 and a piece of the vastus lateralis measuring 12 × 8 × 2 cm^3 (Figure 1-B). Micro-anastomoses were performed to the dorsalis pedis. The skin was used to cover the posteroinferior aspect of the wound (the Achilles tendon and calcaneum) and the muscle was transposed cephalically to cover the exposed tibia. Skin grafting was performed over the muscle as well as areas of the wound that is not covered by the flap. Healing was uneventful and he was able to return to work as a dispatch driver 3 months after his injury. Figure 1-C shows him at 1-year follow-up.

Case 2

A 34-year-old man presented with Gustillo type III B open fracture. In addition to the large circumferential soft-tissue defect involving the entire leg, the tissue loss was particularly severe over the distal third of the leg with significant bone loss (Figure 2-A). An ALT-VL(c) flap was harvested with 28 × 14 cm^2 skin flap and a piece of vastus lateralis muscle measuring 4 × 7 × 1.5 cm^3 was harvested based on the oblique branch of the lateral circumflex femoral artery (Figure 2-B). Microanastomoses were performed end to side to the anterior tibial artery and end to end to the vena comtantes of the anterior tibial artery. The muscle was inset into the distal leg bony defect to obliterate the dead space and the entire distal leg wound covered by the skin flap. Proximally, the wound was skin grafted. The flap

Figure 2   (A) Gustillo III B open fracture with circumferential extensive soft-tissue loss over the leg. In the distal tibia, there was significant bone loss and comminution of the bone. (B) Intraoperatively, the anterolateral thigh skin, supplied by the A and B perforators, were noted to originate from the oblique branch (OB). The ALT-VLc flap was thus harvested based on the Oblique branch leaving the descending branch (DB) *in situ*. (C) The anterolateral thigh—vastus lateralis conjoint flap. (D) The vastus lateralis (VL) was precisely inset over the area of bone exposure and comminution, obliterating the dead space and providing for soft-tissue replacement in this area of more severe trauma. The entire wound bed was then covered over by the anterolateral thigh skin (ALT). (E) Patient at 4 months post-op, before the exchange plating and bone grafting.

survived completely and this optimised soft-tissue reconstruction allowed for a second stage bone grafting and exchange plating which was performed 3 months after the accident. The patient was able to ambulate and return to work subsequently (Figure 2-C).

Discussion

In selected complex lower limb wounds, the ALT-VL(c) flap is superior to the anterolateral thigh skin flap, its myocutaneous counterpart or any available 'conventional' muscle or skin flaps.[10] It is particularly useful in the following situations. First, in wounds that are in need of extensive resurfacing where part of the defect can be resurfaced by the skin component and part of it by the muscle component. This in effect achieves coverage that can be only provided by two conventional free flaps with a single conjoint flap. In our experience, the ALT-VL(c) flap is able to cover far greater dimensions that are achievable with the latissimus dorsi flap, considered one of the largest muscle flaps available. More importantly, because of the

independent mobility of each component, these can be accurately inset into critical areas that need to be covered by well-vascularised tissue. This gives the conjoint flap an added versatility if the critical areas to be covered are widely separated within a larger wound. Second, the ALT-VL(c) flap is also versatile in complex wounds with a specific area of concern within a larger area that needs coverage. Providing a piece of well-vascularised muscle into the area of severe trauma, dead space or in the area most deficient of soft tissues will provide an optimised reconstruction.

We prefer to place the skin component over areas that potentially need to be re-elevated for secondary procedures, such as for later exchange plating. Furthermore, it is preferred over areas of high stresses as skin is able to withstand the sheering forces better. Muscle is preferentially placed where obliteration of dead space is a major consideration as it conforms better than that of the skin flap into tight and narrow spaces.[13]

Implications of the vascular anatomy of the anterolateral thigh flap to the harvest of the conjoint flap, in particular the relevance of the recently described 'oblique branch of the lateral circumflex femoral artery', should be discussed. The oblique branch is a variably present vessel in the anterolateral thigh. It is present in about 35% of patients, and when present, it takes over the supply of the proximal anterolateral thigh skin.[11] It also provides a large muscle branch to the rectus femoris muscle. This is particularly relevant in the harvest of the ALT-VL(c) flap as the proximal skin vessels are used to supply the skin component while the more distal vessels need to be ligated in order to increase the size of the muscle component that can be harvested. Not uncommonly, when the anterolateral thigh vascular anatomy is explored intra-operatively, the proximal skin perforators originate from the oblique branch of the lateral circumflex femoral artery. In this situation, to include the skin, the oblique branch would have to a used as the pedicle of the flap. We have found that the oblique branch can reliably supply a large piece of the vastus lateralis, even the entire vastus lateralis muscle. To procure a longer and larger pedicle, it can be traced proximally by ligating the muscle branch to the rectus femoris. This is safe and does not compromise the blood supply to the rectus femoris as the descending branch reliably supplies a 'co-dominant' muscle branch to the muscle. This is our recommended approach to harvesting the ALT-VL(c) flap in this situation. Including the descending branch to vascularise the vastus lateralis while feasible will cause too much devascularisation of the anterolateral thigh musculature, potentially needing to ligate the two large muscle branches to the rectus femoris (one from the descending and oblique branches each) as well as the potentially transverse branch of the lateral circumflex femoral artery, compromising the rectus femoris and the tensor fascia lata, respectively. This approach is hence not advised.

Ethical approval

Not required.

Funding

None declared.

Conflict of interest

None declared.

References

1. Lin CH, Lin YT, Yeh JT, Chen CT. Free functioning muscle transfer for lower extremity posttraumatic composite structure and functional defect. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 2007 Jun;**119**(7):2118–26.
2. Tham C, Tan BK, Hong SW, Chew WY, Low CK, Tan KC. Salvage of the massively traumatized lower extremity with sequential free flaps. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 2004 May;**113**(6):1746–50.
3. Ong YS, Levin LS. Lower limb salvage in trauma. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 2010 Feb;**125**(2):582–8.
4. Lin CH, Wei FC, Lin YT, Yeb JT, Rodreguez Ede J, Chen CT. Lateral circumflex femoral artery system: warehouse for functional composite free-tissue reconstruction of the lower leg. *J Trauma* 2006 May;**60**(5):1032–6.
5. Wei FC, Jain V, celik N, et al. Have We found an ideal soft-tissue flap? An experience with 672 anterolateral thigh flaps. *Plast Reconstr Surg* June 2002;**109**(7):2219–26.
6. Wong CH, Wei FC. Microsurgical free flap in head and neck reconstruction. *Head Neck* 2010 Sep;**32**(9):1236–45.
7. Lutz BS, Wei FC. Microsurgical workhorse flaps in head and neck reconstruction. *Clin Plast Surg* 2005 Jul;**32**(3):421–30.
8. Wong CH, Wei FC. Anterolateral thigh flap. *Head Neck* 2010 Apr;**32**(4):529–40.
9. Posch NA, Mureau MA, Flood SJ, Hofer SO. The combined free partial vastus lateralis with anterolateral thigh perforator flap reconstruction of extensive composite defects. *Br J Plast Surg* 2005 Dec;**58**(8):1095–103.
10. Lin YT, Lin CH, Wei FC. More degrees of freedom by using chimeric concept in the applications of anterolateral thigh flap. *J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg* 2006;**59**(6):622–7.
11. Wong CH, Wei FC, Fu B, et al. Alternative vascular pedicle of the anterolateral thigh flap: the oblique branch of the lateral circumflex femoral artery. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 2008 Feb;**123**:571–7.
12. Tan BK, Wong CH, Chew W, Hong SW. Use of the slit arteriotomy for end-to-side arterial anastomosis in free-tissue transfers to the extremities. *J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg* 2009 Nov;**62**(11):1519–23.
13. Yazar S, Lin CH, Lin YT, Ulusal AE, Wei FC. Outcome comparison between free muscle and free fasciocutaneous flaps for reconstruction of distal third and ankle traumatic open tibial fractures. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 2006 Jun;**117**(7):2468–75.